



Connick Tree Care



Connick Tree Consultants

Specialist in Arboricultural Assessment

ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY ***(Health & Safety)***

OUR REFERENCE	207483/RT
CLIENT	Mr Daniel Walker-Cheetham
SITE	Nutfield C of E Primary School, 59 Mid Street, South Nutfield
SURVEY & REPORT BY	Mr Richard Tilling
DATE	31 st July 2024
DATE OF INSPECTION	31 st July 2024
DATE OF NEXT INSPECTION	July 2025

CONNICK TREE CONSULTANTS
NEW POND FARM, WOODHATCH ROAD, REIGATE, SURREY RH2 7QH
01737 859754
www.connicktreecare.co.uk



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	INSTRUCTION	1
1.2	SCOPE OF REPORT	1
1.3	SUMMARY OF LEGAL OBLIGATIONS	2
1.4	QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE	2
1.5	LIMITATIONS AND USE OF COPYRIGHT	2
2	ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3
2.1	SITE VISIT	3
2.2	SITE DESCRIPTION	3
2.3	TREE SURVEY.....	3
2.4	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4
2.5	TREE RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS	6
3	REFERENCES and BIBLIOGRAPHY	7

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I

APPENDIX II

APPENDIX III

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

TREE LOCATION PLAN

QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 INSTRUCTION

- 1.1.1 Connick Tree Care were instructed by Mr Daniel Walker-Cheetham the School Business Manager to undertake a ground level visual assessment of all trees located within Nutfield C of E Primary School, 59 Mid Street, South Nutfield and provide a report detailing the condition of all trees assessed and any recommended management requirements on those identified with defects.
- 1.1.2 The principal objective of the survey was to identify trees, or parts of trees, which appear to be in a hazardous condition and to advise remedial action to ameliorate the risk they could represent to users of the site and adjacent areas.

1.2 SCOPE OF REPORT

- 1.2.1 The survey included all established trees/groups of trees with a stem diameter greater than 150mm. Unless specifically instructed to, newly planted or self-set trees less than 150mm in diameter or shrub species were not included.
- 1.2.2 The inspections were carried out from ground level using the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) method (Mattheck, C and Breloer, H, 1994) examining the external features of each individual tree. All measurements, proportions and assessments of age are approximate, except where stated.
- 1.2.3 The report and recommendations relate to the condition of the trees and their surroundings at the time of inspection only. Trees are living organisms whose health and condition can change rapidly and all trees, even healthy ones, are at risk from unpredictable climatic and man-made events. This report and recommendations relate to the condition of the trees and their surroundings at the time of inspection only.
- 1.2.4 The conclusions and recommendations in this report are only valid for one year. Any changes to the site as it stands at present will invalidate this report, e.g., building of extensions, excavation works, importing of soils, extreme weather events etc.
- 1.2.5 The re-inspection frequency is specified on an individual tree basis and is recorded in the survey data in Appendix I.
- 1.2.6 No decay detection equipment either invasive or non-invasive was employed.



1.3 SUMMARY OF LEGAL OBLIGATIONS

- 1.3.1 A considerable body of case law has established that, in order to be in a position to foresee and indeed to prevent harm arising from a tree failure, it is necessary to subject the tree or trees in question to 'regular inspection', with this inspection undertaken by someone competent both to identify any defects present and to interpret their significance for public safety.
- 1.3.2 Within the United Kingdom the land owner in which a tree stands upon has a duty in relation to the health and safety of those on or near the land. This duty is covered by both civil and criminal law and would leave the owner responsible for any liabilities arising from the falling of a tree or branch either financially or through prosecution (NTSG, 2011).
- 1.3.3 The breach of or infringement of this duty can lead to potential liabilities to pay damages within civil law under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 and 1984 or to the risk of prosecution within criminal law under the Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974.

1.4 QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

- 1.4.1 I have based this report on my site observations and investigations, and I have come to conclusions in the light of my qualifications gained and experience obtained whilst working in the field of arboriculture. I have qualifications and practical experience in arboriculture and list the details of this in Appendix III.

1.5 LIMITATIONS AND USE OF COPYRIGHT

- 1.5.1 All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means without our written permission. Its contents and format are for the exclusive use of Nutfield Church School and their associates. It may not be sold, lent out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this situation without the written consent of Connick Tree Care.
- 1.5.2 **DISCLAIMER:** I have no connection with any of the parties involved in this situation that could influence the opinions expressed in this report.



2 ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 SITE VISIT

- 2.1.1 A site visit was undertaken on the 31st July 2024 by the author of this report; Mr R. Tilling who is a qualified arboriculturist. The weather at the time of inspection was warm and sunny with good visibility.

2.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.2.1 The site is located within the village of South Nutfield and is home to Nutfield Church of England Primary School and caretaker's accommodation. The site predominately consists of open playing fields with the school, caretaker's accommodation and playgrounds within the south west corner of the site adjacent to the public highway of Mid Street. The remaining boundaries are bordered by residential properties to the north and south and to the east by agricultural fields.
- 2.2.2 The trees within the site are predominately located around the edges of the site on the boundaries and within the wildlife area in the north-east corner. There are also a small number of trees located adjacent to the main school building and playgrounds.

2.3 TREE SURVEY

- 2.3.1 The survey was carried out from ground level using the Visual Tree Assessment method (Mattheck, C and Breloer, H, 1994) examining the external features of each individual tree. The observations, approximate measurements and a description of location of all trees included within the survey were recorded during the site inspection on a handheld computer.
- 2.3.2 In total 55 individual trees and 11 groups of trees were surveyed within the site. The information obtained during the survey process is recorded within the tree survey schedule attached as Appendix I.
- 2.3.3 Due to the nature, location and usage of the sites, with adjacent roads and buildings, school buildings, play areas and sports fields most of the trees have a high "target risk" associated with them as they may cause harm or damage should they fail as a whole or in part.
- 2.3.4 A disease of Ash trees caused by a fungus called *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*, better known as Ash Die Back is affecting trees country wide. It is worth noting that there are a number of Ash trees throughout the site.
- 2.3.5 It is recommended that all the Ash trees are viewed regularly by staff at the school, taking regular photographs to allow assessment remotely by a tree consultant. The images taken throughout the growing season will allow a fuller assessment of any spread of Ash Dieback within the site.
- 2.3.6 Ash Dieback causes leaf loss, crown dieback and bark lesions in affected trees. Once a tree is infected the disease is usually fatal, either directly, or indirectly by weakening the tree to the point where it succumbs more readily to attacks by other pests or pathogens, especially Armillaria fungi, or Honey fungus. As such it will be necessary to proactively check these trees for signs of the diseases and remove them before they become a threat. Details of the symptoms of the disease can be found on the forestry commissions website at <http://www.forestry.gov.uk/ashdieback#Symptoms>.



2.4 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.4.1 The tree survey has identified a total of 1 individual tree which require some form of management. These recommendations have been made to mitigate against identified possibly hazardous defects and/or on the basis of sound arboricultural management. The management recommendations are identified within Table 1 below:

Table 1 - Identifying management recommendations.

Tree No.	Tag No.	Tree Species	Recommendation	Priority Code	Work Reason	Re-inspect
T45	354	Common Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	Remove major deadwood over pergola	6 mths	Health and Safety	1 yr.



- 2.4.2 The works laid out are prioritised and it's recommended that they are carried out within the given time frames.
- 2.4.3 All recommended works should be undertaken by appropriately qualified Arboricultural Contractors, to BS3998 Recommendations for Tree Work 2010 or current Industry Best Practice.
- 2.4.4 The environmental implications of hazard and disease management must be considered in relation to the need to conserve biodiversity in the deadwood fauna and flora. Our recommendations for remedial tree works are intended to strike a reasonable balance between the need for tree safety and the encouragement of biodiversity.
- 2.4.5 **The Local Planning Authority has not yet been contacted to establish whether any Tree Preservation Order (TPO) covers any of the trees, or to determine if the site is situated within a Conservation Area (CA). It would be necessary to determine whether either of these planning controls are in operation before commencement of any works and submitting the required notifications or obtaining the required permission.**



2.5 TREE RISK MANAGEMENT PROCESS

- 2.5.1 The level of risk of harm or damage rises with numbers of people using the site and the proximity of trees to buildings and property. Free access to most of the site, the play areas, sports fields, buildings, and adjacent roads means that most of the trees on the site require a frequent inspection regime.
- 2.5.2 The re-inspection frequency is specified on an individual tree basis and is recorded in the survey schedule in Appendix I.
- 2.5.3 A qualified arboriculturist would carry out the yearly inspection, while ground staff could undertake other inspections as part of their general duties. The grounds maintenance staff would need some very basic instruction and reporting forms to enable them to carry out this function. The yearly inspection by the arboriculturist would identify any specific problem trees and also check on any defects reported by the ground staff. The arboriculturist would serve the function of a consultant, i.e., only be called in (other than for the yearly inspection) if there was a situation which the on-site staff were not able to resolve.
- 2.5.4 A walk-round survey following any major storm event should be undertaken to identify new hazards, uprooted / partially uprooted trees, major branch fractures, breaking out of parts of the crown etc.
- 2.5.5 Clearly any emergency situations would require action immediately and generally no need to call in the arboriculturist at this time. However, they should be informed of such actions in case there is some impact on the safety of trees remaining e.g., increased exposure to prevailing winds.
- 2.5.6 The above approach allows the day to day management of the risk to be with those who are in close proximity to it and can respond quickly, while the overall strategic risk and detailed assessment of risk associated with individual trees is kept at the technical level needed for assessing such risks.
- 2.5.7 This system allows a detailed picture of the condition of the trees to be built up and it becomes possible to provide more accurate analysis of the hazards and level of risk associated with the tree population on site. This in turn will allow management to identify implications more precisely for revenue budgets over a period of 5 to 10 years of tree management on the site. It will also allow evaluation of impacts on trees and / or impacts of trees on buildings etc. that arise from proposed changes in infrastructure layout. This will be of benefit in reducing costs and reducing hazards.
- 2.5.8 Risk Management Strategy is now a 'common' policy area for all those who are involved in managing any large public or private facility. The assessment of the hazard associated with trees and the management of the risk is no different than that of ensuring that buildings are in good repair and that action is taken to prevent accidents that would be considered 'reasonably foreseeable.'



3 REFERENCES and BIBLIOGRAPHY

Anon, British Standard BS 3998 (2010), "Recommendations for Tree Work", British Standards Institute. London.

DOE, "Tree Preservation Orders - A guide to the law and good practice", Department of Environment, 1994.

David Lonsdale. (2001), Principles of Tree Hazard Assessment and Management. London, HMSO.

Mattheck, C. and Breloer, H. (1994) The body language of trees, Research for Amenity Trees No.4 HMSO.

National Tree Safety Group. (2011), Common sense risk management of trees, Guidance on trees and public safety in the UK for owners, managers and advisers. Edinburgh, The Forestry Commission.

APPENDIX I TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

Nutfield Church School 59 Mid Street South Nutfield Redhill Surrey RH1 4JJ						Connick Tree Consultancy New Pond Farm Woodhatch Road Reigate Surrey RH2 7QH Phone: 01737 779 191 info@connicktreecare.co.uk					
General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: G1		A Group --		Tag: Not tagged TPO:				Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Mixed group of hazel, laurel and Hawthorn forming boundary thicket											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	3 m	3 m		120 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root		Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud				
	No visual defects		No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal				
Work	Category		Action		Hours		Priority		Done		
	No action		Unspecified						No		
Tree ID: G7		A Hedgerow - <i>Spp.</i>		Tag: 4025 TPO:				Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: 17m of mixed hedgerow, blackthorn, plum, hawthorns and willow present											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	5 m	2 m		110 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root		Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud				
	No visual defects		No visual defect		Low hanging branches		Normal				
Work	Category		Action		Hours		Priority		Done		
	No action		Unspecified						No		

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: G14		A Hedgerow - <i>Spp.</i>		Tag: 4030 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: 21m of mixed hedgerow, blackthorn, hawthorns and elder present										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	5 m	2 m		110 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root		Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud			
	No visual defects		No visual defect		Low hanging branches		Normal			
Work	Category		Action		Hours		Priority		Done	
	No action		Unspecified						No	
Tree ID: G26		A Group - -		Tag: 4035 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Regrowth from coppice group, some deadwood throughout group, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	11 m	2 m	8	210 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root		Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud			
	No visual defects		Multi stemmed		No visual defects		Normal			
Work	Category		Action		Hours		Priority		Done	
	No action		Unspecified						No	

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: G27		Common Hazel <i>Corylus avellana</i>				Tag: 4036 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
		Tree Comment:				Survey Comment: 12 coppice stools, no work required at the time of inspection					
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	6 m	3 m	9	100 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	Sucker growth			Leaning Multi stemmed		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: G28		A Group --				Tag: 4037 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
		Tree Comment:				Survey Comment: 5 lawson cypress in group, no work required at the time of inspection					
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	10 m	2 m	5	250 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: G32		A Group --				Tag: Not tagged TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
		Tree Comment:				Survey Comment: Willow coppice with 4m regrowth					
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	4 m	2 m	3	200 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Multi stemmed		Pollard			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: G33	A Group					Tag: Not tagged			Assessor: Richard Tilling	
	--					TPO:			Date: 31-Jul-24	
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Willow coppice with 4m regrowth									
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	4 m	2 m	3	200 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Multi stemmed		Pollard		Normal		
Work	Category			Action				Hours		Priority
	No action			Unspecified						Done
										No
Tree ID: G38	A Group					Tag: 495			Assessor: Richard Tilling	
	--					TPO:			Date: 31-Jul-24	
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Copse area with 4 Hawthorn									
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	3 m	3 m	4	200 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Leaning Bifurcated		Low hanging branches		Normal		
Work	Category			Action				Hours		Priority
	No action			Unspecified						Done
										No
Tree ID: G41	A Group					Tag: 4043			Assessor: Richard Tilling	
	--					TPO:			Date: 31-Jul-24	
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Boundary hedge of mixed native species, some young ash in group not showing signs of Ash die back									
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	8 m	2 m		200 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
Work	Category			Action				Hours		Priority
	No action			Unspecified						Done
										No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: G44		A Hedgerow - <i>Spp.</i>				Tag: Not tagged TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
Tree Comment:											
Survey Comment: Mixed native species hedge, ash in group, not showing signs of Ash die back at present											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	7 m	2 m		200 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T2		Apple <i>Malus Spp.</i>				Tag: 401 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
Tree Comment:											
Survey Comment: Minor low crown over field, minor ivy on lower stem to 4m											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	7 m	3 m	1	380 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Ivy covered		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T3		Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>				Tag: 420 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
Tree Comment:											
Survey Comment: Minor low crown over shed, no work required at the time of inspection											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	20 m	7 m	1	650 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds		Old pruning wounds			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T4	Silver Birch <i>Betula pendula</i>					Tag: 457 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Low crown over low use area										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	11 m	2 m	1	200 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T5	Apple <i>Malus Spp.</i>					Tag: 449 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Crown recently reduced, no further work required										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	3.5 m	3 m	1	220 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Old pruning wounds			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T6	Prunus <i>Prunus Spp.</i>					Tag: 4024 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Low stem damage, no work required at the time of inspection										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	4 m	2 m	1	120 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Stubs		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T8		Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>				Tag: 450 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Memorial planting from 2012, low crown to all cardinal points, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	8 m	4 m	1	210 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Low hanging branches		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T9		Common Lime <i>Tilia europaea</i>				Tag: 4026 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Low crown to all cardinal points, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	14 m	5 m	1	480 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Low hanging branches		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T10		Downy Birch <i>Betula pubescens</i>				Tag: 4027 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	12 m	2 m	1	310 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	Damage to buttress roots			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T11		Sycamore <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>				Tag: 545 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Minor low crown, minor ivy on lower stem, extensive squirrel damage with secure snapped branch wedged in crown										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	10 m	5 m	1	400 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud	
	No visual defects			Ivy covered		Damage / wounding Low hanging branches			Normal	
Work	Category			Action			Hours		Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T12		Common Walnut <i>Juglans regia</i>				Tag: 4028 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	5 m	2 m	1	190 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud	
	No visual defects			Bark wounds		Low hanging branches			Normal	
Work	Category			Action			Hours		Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)

Tree ID: T13	Common Walnut <i>Juglans regia</i>	Tag: 4029 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 4 m	Spread 2 m	Stems 1	Ø 120 mm	Maturity Young	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Good
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Bark wounds	Branch Low hanging branches	Leaf/Bud Normal						
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified	Hours	Priority	Done No					

Tree ID: T15	Wild Service Tree <i>Sorbus torminalis</i>	Tag: 4031 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Minor low crown to all cardinal points, snapped branch stub at 2m										
Details	Height 9 m	Spread 3 m	Stems 1	Ø 350 mm	Maturity Mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Good
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem No visual defect	Branch Low hanging branches Stubs	Leaf/Bud Normal						
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified	Hours	Priority	Done No					

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)

Tree ID: T16

Sycamore

Acer pseudoplatanus

Tag: 543

TPO:

Tree Comment:

Survey Comment: Secondary stem from 400mm, low crown over rough area, upper stem rubbing against adjacent ash limb

Assessor: Richard Tilling

Date: 31-Jul-24

Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	12 m	4 m	2	350 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds Stubs Bifurcated		Damage / wounding			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

Tree ID: T17

Common Ash

Fraxinus excelsior

Tag: 4032

TPO:

Tree Comment:

Survey Comment: Minor low crown over grass area, minor static deadwood throughout crown over low use area. No signs of Ash die back

Assessor: Richard Tilling

Date: 31-Jul-24

Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	20 m	8 m	1	680 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Bark wounds		Minor dead wood Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T18	Common Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i>					Tag: 90 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Asymmetric low crown, insect predation on leaf, low limb reduced back to 2m stub										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	16 m	5 m	1	510 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	Sucker growth			No visual defect		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T19	Common Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>					Tag: 91 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Low crown, no signs of Ash die back present, insect predation on leaf										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	16 m	8 m	1	670 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Bark wounds		Minor dead wood Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T20	Crack Willow <i>Salix fragilis</i>					Tag: 539 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Standing dead stem, retained for habitat										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	3 m	1 m	1	380 mm	Dead			N/A	31-Jul-25	Poor	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T21	Western Balsam Poplar <i>Populus trichocarpa</i>					Tag: 538 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection									
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due
	18 m	4 m	1	610 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T22	Common Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i>					Tag: 4033 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Adjacent hide, no work required at the time of inspection									
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due
	9 m	2 m	1	200 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T23	Field Maple <i>Acer campestre</i>					Tag: 536 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Major static deadwood over wildlife area, no work required at the time of inspection									
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due
	14 m	5 m	1	560 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Major dead wood		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T24	Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>					Tag: 4034 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Shaded crown, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	15 m	4 m	1	410 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Stubs			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T25	Common Alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i>					Tag: 534 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Bifurcated stem from base, one stem has bacterial canker and has been reduced with 1m regrowth evident, main stem has static deadwood and 6m regrowth from previous reduction wound										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	17 m	4 m	2	500 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Fungus or decay		Old pruning wounds Pollard			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)

Tree ID: T29	Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	Tag: 94 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Low crown over benches, but benches appear unused										
Details	Height 11 m	Spread 6 m	Stems 1	Ø 420 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Good
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Stubs		Branch Low hanging branches		Leaf/Bud Normal				
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified		Hours		Priority	Done No			

Tree ID: T30	Weeping Willow <i>Salix chrysocoma</i>	Tag: 4038 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Multi-stemmed coppice at 2m, half of stems are dead, remaining regrowth 3m and healthy, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 5 m	Spread 2 m	Stems 9	Ø 110 mm	Maturity Young	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Fair
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Multi stemmed		Branch Pollard		Leaf/Bud Normal				
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified		Hours		Priority	Done No			

Tree ID: T31	Wild Cherry <i>Prunus avium</i>	Tag: 4039 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 6 m	Spread 4 m	Stems 1	Ø 220 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Good
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem No visual defect		Branch Low hanging branches		Leaf/Bud Normal				
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified		Hours		Priority	Done No			



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)

Tree ID: T34	Common Hornbeam <i>Carpinus betulus</i>	Tag: 4040 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Minor low crown over grass area										
Details	Height 8 m	Spread 4 m	Stems 1	Ø 320 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Fair
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Bark wounds Stubs	Branch Low hanging branches	Leaf/Bud Normal						
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified	Hours	Priority	Done No					

Tree ID: T35	Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>	Tag: 64 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Tight stem union from half a metre, small snapped branch over playing fields at 2m removed at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 12 m	Spread 4 m	Stems 1	Ø 500 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Good
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Bark wounds Tight union	Branch Low hanging branches Stubs	Leaf/Bud Normal						
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified	Hours	Priority	Done No					

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T36		Common Hornbeam <i>Carpinus betulus</i>				Tag: 363 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Minor low crown over grass area										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	8 m	2 m	1	320 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Bark wounds Stubs		Low hanging branches		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T37		Western Balsam Poplar <i>Populus trichocarpa</i>				Tag: 97 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	14 m	3 m	1	310 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T39		Common Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>				Tag: 4041 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: No sign of Ash die back, insect predation on leaf										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	18 m	5 m	1	600 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects		Insect / caterpillar attack		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T40	Brewer Spruce <i>Picea brewerana</i>					Tag: 4042 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Abnormal appearance to mid stem section										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	17 m	4 m	1	600 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds		No visual defects			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T42	Common Oak <i>Quercus robur</i>					Tag: 4044 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	17 m	8 m	1	700 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Minor dead wood			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T43	Douglas Fir <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>					Tag: 359 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Crown condition much improved since 2023 inspection, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	20 m	6 m	1	800 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Stress marks / slime flux		No visual defects			Small / sparse		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T44		Common Hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i>				Tag: 558 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Pollard at 2.5m with 4m healthy regrowth										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	7 m	2 m	1	340 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Epicormic growths		Pollard		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T45		Scots Pine <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>				Tag: 357 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Abnormal appearance to upper stem										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	11 m	4 m	1	510 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T46		Common Hawthorn <i>Crataegus monogyna</i>				Tag: 4045 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24	
Tree Comment:										
Survey Comment: Regrowth on 1m stump, reforming tree crown										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	3 m	2 m	1	390 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds Epicormic growths		Pollard		Normal		
Work	Category			Action		Hours			Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T47	Common Hornbeam <i>Carpinus betulus</i>					Tag: 355 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Minor low crown, wounding on lower stem										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	6 m	3 m	1	290 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Bark wounds		Low hanging branches			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T48	Common Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>					Tag: 354 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: insect predation on leaf may account for sparse branch tips. Tree doesn't show signs of Ash die back at present, historic crown reduction wounds evident, deadwood over pergola area										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	18 m	8 m	1	850 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Epicormic growths			Insect / caterpillar attack		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	Remove			Major dead wood						6 Months	No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T49	Common Ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>					Tag: 412 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: 6m healthy regrowth from previous reduction wounds, no work required at the time of inspection, insect predation on leaf. No signs of Ash die back										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	22 m	7 m	1	930 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		Old pruning wounds Epicormic growths			Insect / caterpillar attack		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T50	Norway Maple <i>Acer platanoides</i>					Tag: 409 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24			
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Growing on edge of playground hence compaction.										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	10 m	5 m	1	400 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	Soil compaction			Bark wounds		No visual defects			Discolouration		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T51	Prunus <i>Prunus Spp.</i>					Tag: 402 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Growing on edge of playground hence compaction. Insect predation on leaf, minor deadwood in crown										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	5 m	3 m	1	270 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	Soil compaction			Bark wounds		Low hanging branches Stubs			Small / sparse		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T52	Tulip Tree <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>					Tag: 98 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Minor deadwood throughout crown, compaction from children playing										
	Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	15 m	5 m	1	608 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	Soil compaction			Bark wounds		Minor dead wood			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)										
Tree ID: T53	Jacquemont's Birch <i>Betula utilis var.jacquemontii</i>					Tag: 99 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Low crowns break, damage to lower stem 400mm by 150mm, historic with sound dry deadwood within stem									
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	9 m	4 m	1	370 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	Sucker growth			Bark wounds Old pruning wounds		Low hanging branches		Normal		
Work	Category			Action				Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No
Tree ID: T54	Cappadocian Maple <i>Acer cappadocicum</i>					Tag: 4046 TPO:		Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:									
	Survey Comment: Historic pruning wounds with decay pockets, ivy on lower stem to 3m									
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	8 m	3 m	1	360 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Bark wounds Old pruning wounds Ivy covered		Old pruning wounds		Normal		
Work	Category			Action				Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified						No



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)

Tree ID: T57

Field Maple
Acer campestre

Tag: 509

TPO:

Assessor: Richard Tilling

Date: 31-Jul-24

Tree Comment:

Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection

Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	8 m	3 m	1	350 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair
Observations	Root	Stem			Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects	Bark wounds Old pruning wounds Minor cavities			Apical die back			Normal		
Work	Category	Action			Hours			Priority		Done
	No action	Unspecified								No

Tree ID: T58

Field Maple
Acer campestre

Tag: 511

TPO:

Assessor: Richard Tilling

Date: 31-Jul-24

Tree Comment:

Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection, asymmetrical crown over playground

Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition
	9 m	5 m	1	420 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good
Observations	Root	Stem			Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects	Bark wounds			No visual defects			Normal		
Work	Category	Action			Hours			Priority		Done
	No action	Unspecified								No

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T59	Field Maple <i>Acer campestre</i>					Tag: Not tagged TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Full Inspection restricted due to location in hedge, minor apical die back in upper crown										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	9 m	3 m	1	320 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			No visual defect		No visual defects			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T60	Field Maple <i>Acer campestre</i>					Tag: Not tagged TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Pollarded at 3m with 1.5m regrowth										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	4 m	1 m	1	110 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds		Pollard			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T61	Field Maple <i>Acer campestre</i>					Tag: Not tagged TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
	Tree Comment:										
	Survey Comment: Pollard at 3m with 1.5m regrowth										
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	4 m	1 m	1	110 mm	Young			N/A	31-Jul-25	Fair	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch			Leaf/Bud		
	No visual defects			Old pruning wounds		Pollard			Normal		
Work	Category			Action					Hours	Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No



General Tree Assessment (Detailed)


Tree ID: T62	Apple <i>Malus Spp.</i>	Tag: 504 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: Low crown pruned to fence line, no work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 3 m	Spread 3 m	Stems 2	Ø 110 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Fair
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Bark wounds Old pruning wounds Stubs		Branch Old pruning wounds Stubs		Leaf/Bud Normal				
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified		Hours		Priority	Done No			

Tree ID: T63	Almond <i>Prunus dulcis</i>	Tag: 502 TPO:	Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24							
Tree Comment: Survey Comment: No work required at the time of inspection										
Details	Height 8 m	Spread 3 m	Stems 2	Ø 290 mm	Maturity Semi-mature	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp N/A	Next Due 31-Jul-25	Condition Fair
Observations	Root No visual defects	Stem Bark wounds Bifurcated		Branch No visual defects		Leaf/Bud Normal				
Work	Category No action	Action Unspecified		Hours		Priority	Done No			

General Tree Assessment (Detailed)											
Tree ID: T64		Lawson Cypress <i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>				Tag: 503 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
Tree Comment:											
Survey Comment: Bifurcated stem from 5m, ivy into mid crown											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	10 m	4 m	1	480 mm	Semi-mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud			
	No visual defects			Bifurcated		No visual defects		Normal			
Work	Category			Action		Hours				Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No
Tree ID: T65		Silver Birch <i>Betula pendula</i>				Tag: 472 TPO:			Assessor: Richard Tilling Date: 31-Jul-24		
Tree Comment:											
Survey Comment: Secondary stem from base overhangs garden, stem is 6m high											
Details	Height	Spread	Stems	Ø	Maturity	Bat	Con Area	Prev Insp	Next Due	Condition	
	15 m	2 m	1	390 mm	Mature			N/A	31-Jul-25	Good	
Observations	Root			Stem		Branch		Leaf/Bud			
	Sucker growth			No visual defect		No visual defects		Normal			
Work	Category			Action		Hours				Priority	Done
	No action			Unspecified							No

APPENDIX II

TREE LOCATION PLAN





Appendix III QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE

Richard Tilling

Subject	Level	Date
Microdrill Training	Pass	November 2019
Getting to grips with subsidence	Pass	March 2019
Undertaking Aerial Inspections	Pass	February 2019
Lantra Accredited Professional Tree Inspection	Pass	May 2010 - Refreshed May 2018
CMI Level 3 certificate in First Line Management	Pass	July 2017
NPTC Level 3 Certificate of Competence in the Thorough Examination of Arboricultural Equipment	Pass	April 2006 – Refreshed May 2016
IOSH Training - Managing Safely	Pass	April 2013
Higher National Diploma in Arboriculture	Pass	September 1992 – June 1995

2. CAREER SUMMARY

I began my career in the Arboricultural industry in 1995 following the successful completion of a Higher National Diploma course at Houghall Agricultural College in County Durham. The Course included three placements. Three months with Guildford Borough Council's Arboricultural Department, three months at the National Trusts Winkworth Arboretum and twelve months working for an independent Tree Surgery company.

Upon leaving college I worked for a sole trader Tree Surgeon for two years working mainly for domestic customers. This was followed by sixteen years working for larger tree surgery firms carrying out both domestic and commercial work where I completed certification in climbing and chainsaw use, risk assessments and health and safety.

I progressed from climbing supervisor, followed by two years of quoting for private work, through general management to Contract Management in one of the largest UK tree firms where I managed Council tree contracts including Sutton, Bromley, Bexley, Richmond upon Thames, Hounslow and Islington councils.

During my career within the Arboricultural industry, I have built up valuable practical based Arboricultural knowledge, in depth contract management skills and gaining certification including Professional Tree Inspection Course over ten years ago and LOLER inspection: Certificate of Competence in the Thorough Examination of Arboricultural Equipment over fifteen years ago.

My qualifications have allowed me to carry out varied tree survey work including a full survey of Camden Councils tree stock, 'dead, dying and dangerous' surveying for The Royal Borough of Kingston upon Thames Council, Health and Safety surveying for Transport for London (Southern) and climbing bat roost inspection surveying for Chiswick House and Gardens.



My Contract Management role has giving me experience of Health and Safety, Asset Management and Contract meetings.

I joined Connick Tree Care in January 2018 to expand my knowledge in tree assessment and to get back to a closer relationship with trees and woodland as a whole. I now work as an Arboricultural Consultant with a wide experience of individual tree assessments including Micro drilling, health and safety surveying, Climbing inspections, full site surveying, Insurance or Mortgage surveys and woodland inspection.